

Encyclical of the Eastern Patriarchs (1848) - A Reply to the Epistle of Pope Pius IX, to the „Easterns"

To All the Bishops Everywhere, Beloved in the Holy Spirit, Our Venerable, Most Dear Brethren; and to their Most Pious Clergy; and to All the Genuine Orthodox Sons of the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church: Brotherly Salutation in the Holy Spirit, and Every Good From God, and Salvation.

I

The holy, evangelical and divine Gospel of Salvation should be set forth by all in its original simplicity, and should evermore be believed in its unadulterated purity, even the same as it was revealed to His holy Apostles by our Saviour, who for this very cause, descending from the bosom of God the Father, *made Himself of no reputation and took upon Him the form of a servant* (Philippians 2:7); even the same, also, as those Apostles, who were ear and eye witnesses, sounded it forth, like clear-toned trumpets, to all that are under the sun (for *their sound is gone out into all lands, and their words into the ends of the world*); and, last of all, the very same as the many great and glorious Fathers of the Catholic Church in all parts of the earth, who heard those Apostolic voices, both by their synodical and their individual teachings handed it down to all everywhere, and even unto us. But the Prince of Evil, that spiritual enemy of man's salvation, as formerly in Eden, craftily assuming the pretext of profitable counsel, he made man to become a transgressor of the divinely-spoken command. So in the spiritual Eden, the Church of God, he has from time to time beguiled many; and, mixing the deleterious drugs of heresy with the clear streams of orthodox doctrine, gives of the potion to drink to many of the innocent who live unguardedly, not *giving earnest heed to the things they have heard* (Hebrews 2:10), *and to what they have been told by their fathers* (Deuteronomy 32:7), in accordance with the Gospel and in agreement with the ancient Doctors; and who, imagining that the preached and written Word of the Lord and the perpetual witness of His Church are not sufficient for their souls' salvation, impiously seek out novelties, as we change the fashion of our garments, embracing a counterfeit of the evangelical doctrine.

II

As a result, there have arisen manifold and monstrous heresies, which the Catholic Church, even from her infancy, *taking unto her the whole armor of God, and assuming the sword of the Spirit, which is the Word of God* (Ephesians 6:13-17), has been compelled to combat. She has triumphed over all unto this day, and she will triumph for ever, being manifested as mightier and more illustrious after each struggle.

III

Of these heresies, some already have entirely failed, some are in decay, some have wasted away, some yet flourish in a greater or less degree vigorous until the time of their return to the Faith, while others are reproduced to run their course from their birth to their destruction. For being the miserable cogitations and devices of miserable men, both one and the other, struck with the thunderbolt of the anathema of the seven Ecumenical Synods, shall vanish away, though they may last a thousand years; for the orthodoxy of the Catholic and Apostolic Church, by the living Word of

God, alone endures for ever, according to the infallible promise of the Lord: *the gates of hell shall not prevail against it* (Matthew 18:18). Certainly, the mouths of ungodly and heretical men, however bold, however plausible and fair-speaking, however smooth they may be, will not prevail against the orthodox doctrine, winning its way silently and without noise. But, *wherefore doth the way of the wicked prosper?* (Jeremiah 12:1) *Why are the ungodly exalted and lifted up as the cedars of Lebanon* (Psalm 36:35), to defile the peaceful worship of God? The reason of this is mysterious, and the Church, though daily praying that this cross, this messenger of Satan, may depart from her, ever hears from the Lord: *My grace is sufficient for thee, my strength is made perfect in weakness* (2 Corinthians 12:9). *Wherefore she gladly glories in her infirmities, that the power of Christ may rest upon her, and that they which are approved may be made manifest* (1 Corinthians 10:19).

IV

Of these heresies diffused, with what sufferings the Lord hath known, over a great part of the world, was formerly Arianism, and at present is the Papacy. This, too, as the former has become extinct, although now flourishing, shall not endure, but pass away and be cast down, and a great voice from heaven shall cry: *It is cast down* (Apocalypse 12:10).

V

The new doctrine, that the Holy Spirit proceeds from the Father and the Son, is contrary to the memorable declaration of our Lord, emphatically made respecting it: *who proceeds from the Father* (John 15:26), and contrary to the universal Confession of the Catholic Church as witnessed by the seven Ecumenical Synods, uttering who proceeds from the Father. (The Symbol of Niceno-Constantinople).

1. This novel opinion destroys the oneness from the One cause, and the diverse origin of the Persons of the Blessed Trinity, both of which are witnessed to in the Gospel.
2. Even into the divine Hypostases or Persons of the Trinity, of equal power and equally to be adored, it introduces diverse and unequal relations, with a confusion or commingling of them.
3. It reproaches as imperfect, dark, and difficult to be understood, the previous Confession of the One Holy Catholic and Apostolic Church.
4. It censures the holy Fathers of the First Ecumenical Synod of Nicaea and of the Second Ecumenical Synod at Constantinople, as imperfectly expressing what relates to the Son and Holy Spirit, as if they had been silent respecting the personal property of each Person of the Godhead, when it was necessary that all their divine properties should be expressed against the Arians and Macedonians.
5. It reproaches the Fathers of the Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, and Seventh Ecumenical Synods, which had published over the world a divine Symbol, perfect and complete, and interdicted under dread anathemas and penalties never removed, all addition, or subtraction, or alteration, or variation in the smallest particular of it, by themselves or any whomsoever. Yet was this quickly to be corrected and augmented, and consequently the whole theological doctrine of the Catholic Fathers was to be subjected to change, as if, forsooth, a new property even in regard to the three Persons of the Blessed Trinity had been revealed.
6. It clandestinely found an entrance at first in the Churches of the West, a wolf in sheep's clothing, that is, under the signification not of *procession*, according to the Greek meaning in the Gospel and the Symbol, but under the signification of *mission*, as Pope Martin explained it to the Confessor Maximus, and as Anastasius the Librarian explained it to John VIII.
7. It exhibits incomparable boldness, acting without authority, and forcibly puts a false stamp upon the Symbol, which is the common inheritance of Christianity.
8. It has introduced huge disturbances into the peaceful Church of God, and divided the

- nations.
9. It was publicly proscribed, at its first promulgation, by two ever-to-be-remembered Popes: Leo III and John VIII, the latter of whom, in his epistle to the blessed Photius, classes with Judas those who first brought the interpolation into the Symbol.
 10. It has been condemned by many Holy Synods of the four Patriarchs of the East.
 11. It was subjected to anathema, as a novelty and augmentation of the Symbol, by the Eighth Ecumenical Synod, congregated at Constantinople for the pacification of the Eastern and Western Churches.
 12. As soon as it was introduced into the Churches of the West it brought forth disgraceful fruits, bringing with it, little by little, other novelties, for the most part contrary to the express commands of our Saviour in the Gospel — commands which till its entrance into the Churches were closely observed. Among these novelties may be numbered: sprinkling instead of baptism, denial of the divine Cup to the Laity, elevation of one and the same bread broken, the use of wafers, unleavened instead of real bread, the disuse of the Benediction in the Liturgies, even of the sacred Invocation of the All-holy and Consecrating Spirit, the abandonment of the old Apostolic Mysteries of the Church, such as not anointing baptised infants, or their not receiving the Eucharist, the exclusion of married men from the Priesthood, the infallibility of the Pope and his claim as Vicar of Christ, and the like. Thus, it was that the interpolation that led to the setting aside of the old Apostolic pattern of well nigh all the Mysteries and all doctrine, a pattern which the ancient, holy, and orthodox Church of Rome kept, when she was the most honoured part of the Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church.
 13. It drove the theologians of the West, as its defenders — since they had no ground either in Scripture or the Fathers to countenance heretical teachings — not only into misrepresentations of the Scriptures, such as are seen in none of the Fathers of the Holy Catholic Church, but also into adulterations of the sacred and pure writings of the Fathers alike of the East and West.
 14. It seemed strange, unheard of, and blasphemous, even to those reputed Christian communions, which, before its origin, had been for other just causes for ages cut off from the Catholic fold.
 15. It has not yet been even plausibly defended out of the Scriptures, or with the least reason out of the Fathers, from the accusations brought against it, notwithstanding all the zeal and efforts of its supporters. The doctrine bears all the marks of error arising out of its nature and peculiarities. All erroneous doctrine touching the Catholic truth of the Blessed Trinity, and the origin of the divine Persons, and the subsistence of the Holy Spirit, is and is called heresy, and they who so hold are deemed heretics, according to the sentence of Saint Damasus, Pope of Rome, who says: If any one rightly holds concerning the Father and the Son, yet holds not rightly of the Holy Spirit, he is an heretic (Catholic Confirmation of Faith which Pope Damasus sent to Paulinus, Bishop of Thessalonica). Wherefore the One, Holy, Catholic, and Apostolic Church, following in the steps of the holy Fathers, both Eastern and Western, proclaimed of old to our progenitors and again teaches today synodically, that the said novel doctrine of the Holy Spirit proceeding from the Father and the Son is essentially heresy, and those who maintain it, whoever they be, are heretics, according to the sentence of Pope Saint Damasus, and that the congregations of such are also heretical, and that all spiritual communion in worship of the orthodox sons of the Catholic Church with such is unlawful. Such is the force of the Seventh Canon of the Third Ecumenical Synod.

VI

This heresy, which has united to itself many innovations, as has been said, appeared about the middle of the seventh century, at first and secretly, and then under various disguises, over the Western Provinces of Europe, until by degrees, creeping along for four or five centuries, it obtained

precedence over the ancient orthodoxy of those parts, through the heedlessness of Pastors and the countenance of Princes. Little by little it overspread not only the hitherto orthodox churches of Spain, but also the German, French, and Italian churches, whose orthodoxy at one time was sounded throughout the world, with whom our divine Fathers such as the great Athanasius and heavenly Basil conferred, and whose sympathy and fellowship with us until the Seventh Ecumenical Synod, preserved unharmed the doctrine of the Catholic and Apostolic Church. But over time, by envy of the devil, the novelties respecting the sound and orthodox doctrine of the Holy Spirit, the blasphemy of whom shall not be forgiven unto men either in this world or the next, according to the saying of our Lord (Matthew 12:32), and others that succeeded respecting the divine Mysteries, particularly that of the world-saving Baptism, and the Holy Communion, and the Priesthood, like prodigious births, overspread even Old Rome; and thus sprung, by assumption of special distinctions in the Church as a badge and title, the Papacy. Some of the bishops of that city, styled Popes — for example Leo III and John VIII — did indeed, as has been said, denounce the innovation, and published the denunciation to the world, the former by those silver plates, the latter by his letter to the holy Photius at the Eighth Ecumenical Synod, and another to Sphendopulcrus, by the hands of Methodius, Bishop of Moravia. The greater part, however, of their successors, the Popes of Rome, enticed by the anti-synodical privileges offered them for the oppression of the Churches of God, and finding in them much worldly advantage, and much gain, and conceiving a Monarchy in the Catholic Church and a monopoly of the gifts of the Holy Spirit, changed the ancient worship at will, separating themselves by novelties from the old received Christian Polity. Nor did they cease their endeavours, by lawless projects (as veritable history assures us), to entice the other four Patriarchates into their apostasy from Orthodoxy, and so subject the Catholic Church to the whims and ordinances of men.

VII

Our illustrious predecessors and fathers, with united labour and counsel, seeing the evangelical doctrine received from the Fathers to be trodden under foot, and the robe of our Saviour woven from above to be torn by wicked hands, and stimulated by fatherly and brotherly love, wept for the desolation of so many Christians for whom Christ died. They exercised much zeal and ardour, both synodically and individually, in order that the orthodox doctrine of the Holy Catholic Church being saved, they might knit together as far as they were able that which had been rent; and like approved physicians they consulted together for the safety of the suffering member, enduring many tribulations, and contempts, and persecutions, that perhaps the Body of Christ might not be divided, or the definitions of the divine and august Synods be made of none effect. But true history has transmitted to us the relentlessness of the Western perseverance in error. These illustrious men proved indeed on this point the truth of the words of our holy father Basil the Great, when he said, from experience, concerning the Bishops of the West, and particularly of the Pope: They neither know the truth nor endure to learn it, striving against those who tell them the truth, and strengthening themselves in their heresy (to Eusebius of Samosata). Thus, after a first and second brotherly admonition, knowing their impenitence, shaking them off and avoiding them, they gave them over to their reprobate mind. War is better than peace, apart from God, as said our holy father Gregory, concerning the Arians. From that time there has been no spiritual communion between us and them; for they have with their own hands dug deep the chasm between themselves and Orthodoxy.

VIII

Yet the Papacy has not on this account ceased to annoy the peaceful Church of God, but sending out everywhere so-called missionaries, men of reprobate minds, it *compasses land and sea to make one proselyte*, to deceive one of the Orthodox, to corrupt the doctrine of our Lord, to adulterate, by addition, the divine Symbol of our holy Faith, to prove the Baptism which God gave us superfluous,

the communion of the Cup void of sacred efficacy, and a thousand other things which the demon of novelty dictated to the all-daring Schoolmen of the Middle Ages and to the Bishops of Old Rome, venturing all things through lust of power. Our blessed predecessors and fathers, in their piety, though tried and persecuted in many ways and means, within and without, directly and indirectly, yet confident in the Lord, were able to save and transmit to us this inestimable inheritance of our fathers, which we too, by the help of God, will transmit as a rich treasure to the generations to come, even to the end of the world. But notwithstanding this, the Papists do not cease to this day, nor will cease, according to wont, to attack Orthodoxy — a daily living reproach which they have before their eyes, being deserters from the faith of their Fathers. Would that they made these aggressions against the heresy which has overspread and mastered the West. For who doubts that had their zeal for the overthrow of Orthodoxy been employed for the overthrow of heresy and novelties, agreeable to the God-loving counsels of Leo III and John VIII, those glorious and last Orthodox Popes, not a trace of it, long ago, would have been remembered under the sun, and we should now be saying the same things, according to the Apostolic promise. But the zeal of those who succeeded them was not for the protection of the Orthodox Faith, in conformity with the zeal worthy of all remembrance which was in Leo III, now among the blessed.

IX

In a measure, the aggressions of the later popes in their own persons had ceased, and were carried on only by means of missionaries. But lately, Pius IX, becoming Bishop of Rome and proclaimed pope in 1847, published on the sixth of January, in this present year, an Encyclical Letter addressed to the Easterns, consisting of twelve pages in the Greek version, which his emissary has disseminated, like a plague coming from without, within our Orthodox Fold. In this Encyclical, he addresses those who at different times have gone over from different Christian Communion, and embraced the Papacy, and of course are favourable to him, extending his arguments also to the Orthodox, either particularly or without naming them; and, citing our divine and holy Fathers (p. 3, 1:14-18; p. 4:1.19; p. 9, 1:6; and pp. 17 &, 23), he manifestly calumniates them and us their successors and descendants: them, as if they admitted readily the papal commands and rescripts without question because issuing from the popes is undoubted arbiters of the Catholic Church; us, as unfaithful to their examples (for thus he trespasses on the Fold committed to us by God), as severed from our Fathers, as careless of our sacred trusts, and of the soul's salvation of our spiritual children. Usurping as his own possession the Catholic Church of Christ, by occupancy, as he boasts, of the Episcopal Throne of Saint Peter, he desires to deceive the more simple into apostasy from Orthodoxy, choosing for the basis of all theological instruction these paradoxical words (p. 10, 1:29): nor is there any reason why ye refuse a return to the true Church and Communion with this my holy Throne.

X

Each one of our brethren and sons in Christ who have been piously brought up and instructed wisely regarding the wisdom given him from God, will decide that the words of the present Bishop of Rome, like those of his schismatical predecessors, are not words of peace, as he affirms (p. 7, 1:8), and of benevolence, but words of deceit and guile, tending to self-aggrandizement, agreeably to the practice of his anti-synodical predecessors. We are, therefore, certain that even as heretofore, so hereafter the Orthodox will not be beguiled. For *the word of our Lord is sure* (John 10:5), *A stranger will they not follow, but flee from him, for they know not the voice of strangers.*

XI

For all this we have esteemed it our paternal and brotherly need, and a sacred duty, by our present admonition to confirm you in the Orthodoxy you hold from your forefathers, and at the same time

point out the emptiness of the syllogisms of the Bishop of Rome, of which he is manifestly himself aware. For not from his Apostolic Confession does he glorify his Throne, but from his Apostolic Throne seeks to establish his dignity, and from his dignity, his Confession. The truth is the other way. The Throne of Rome is esteemed that of Saint Peter by a single tradition, but not from Holy Scripture, where the claim is in favour of Antioch, whose Church is therefore witnessed by the great Basil (Ep. 48 Athan.) to be the most venerable of all the Churches in the world. Still more, the Second Ecumenical Synod, writing to a Synod of the West (to the most honourable and religious brethren and fellow-servants, Damasus, Ambrose, Britto, Valerian, and others), witnesses, saying: The oldest and truly Apostolic Church of Antioch, in Syria, where first the honoured name of Christians was used. We say then that the Apostolic Church of Antioch had no right of exemption from being judged according to divine Scripture and synodical declarations, though truly venerated for the throne of Saint Peter. But what do we say? The blessed Peter, even in his own person, was judged before all for the truth of the Gospel, and, as Scripture declares, was found blamable and not walking uprightly. What opinion is to be formed of those who glory and pride themselves solely in the possession of his Throne, so great in their eyes? Nay, the sublime Basil the Great, the Ecumenical teacher of Orthodoxy in the Catholic Church, to whom the Bishops of Rome are obliged to refer us (p. 8, 1:31), has clearly and explicitly above, shown us what estimation we ought to have of the judgments of the inaccessible Vatican: — They neither, he says, know the truth, nor endure to learn it, striving against those who tell them the truth, and strengthening themselves in their heresy. So that these our holy Fathers whom the Pope, worthily admiring as lights and teachers even of the West, accounts as belonging to us, and advises us (p. 8) to follow, teach us not to judge Orthodoxy from the holy Throne, but the Throne itself and him that is on the Throne by the sacred Scriptures, by Synodical decrees and limitations, and by the Faith which has been preached, even the Orthodoxy of continuous teaching. Thus did our Fathers judge and condemn Honorius, Pope of Rome, and Dioscorus, Pope of Alexandria, and Macedonius and Nestorius, Patriarchs of Constantinople, and Peter Gnapheus, Patriarch of Antioch, with others. For if the *abomination of desolation stood in the Holy Place*, why not innovation and heresy upon a holy Throne? Hence is exhibited in a brief compass the weakness and feebleness of the efforts in behalf of the despotism of the Pope of Rome. For, unless the Church of Christ was founded upon the immovable rock of Saint Peter's Confession, *Thou art the Christ, the Son of the Living God* (which was the answer of the Apostles in common, when the question was put to them, *Whom say ye that I am?* (Matthew 16:15), as the Fathers, both Eastern and Western, interpret the passage to us), the Church was built upon a slippery foundation, even on Cephas himself, not to say on the Pope, who, after monopolising the Keys of the Kingdom of Heaven, has made such an administration of them as is plain from history. But our divine Fathers, with one accord, teach that the sense of the thrice-repeated command, *Feed my sheep*, implied no prerogative in Saint Peter over the other Apostles, least of all in his successors. It was a simple restoration to his Apostleship, from which he had fallen by his thrice-repeated denial. Saint Peter himself appears to have understood the intention of the thrice-repeated question of our Lord: *Lovest thou Me more than these?* (John 21:16); for, calling to mind the words, *Thou all shall be offended because of Thee, yet will I never be offended* (Matthew 26:33), he was *grieved because He said unto him the third time, Lovest thou Me?* But his successors, from self-interest, understand the expression as indicative of Saint Peter's more ready mind.

XII

The pope says (p. 8, 1:12.) that our Lord said to Peter (Luke 22:32), *I have prayed for thee, that thy faith fail not: and when thou art converted, strengthen thy brethren*. Our Lord so prayed because Satan had sought to overthrow the faith of all the disciples, but the Lord allowed him Peter only, chiefly because he had uttered words of boasting, and justified himself above the rest (Matthew 26:33): *Though all shall be offended, because of thee, yet will I never be offended*. The permission to Satan was but temporary. *He began to curse and to swear: I know not the man*. So weak is human nature, left to itself. *The spirit is willing, but the flesh is weak*. It was but temporary, that, coming

again to himself by his return in tears of repentance, he might the rather strengthen his brethren who had neither perjured themselves nor denied. Oh, the wise judgment of the Lord! How divine and mysterious was the last night of our Saviour upon earth! That sacred Supper is believed to be consecrated to this day in every Church: *This do in remembrance of me* (Luke 22:19), and *As often as ye eat this bread and drink this cup, ye do show the Lord's death till he come* (1 Corinthians 11:26). Of the brotherly love thus earnestly commended to us by the common Master, saying, *By this shall all men know that ye are my disciple, if ye have love one for another* (John 13:35), have the popes first broken the stamp and seal, supporting and receiving heretical novelties, contrary to the things delivered to us and canonically confirmed by our Teachers and Fathers in common. This love acts at this day with power in the souls of Christian people, and particularly in their leaders. We boldly avow before God and men, that the prayer of our Saviour to God and His Father for the common love and unity of Christians in the One Holy Catholic and Apostolic Church, in which we believe, *that they may be one, ever as we are one* (John 17:22), works in us no less than in the pope. Our brotherly love and zeal meet that of the pope, with only this difference: that in us it works for the covenanted preservation of the pure, undefiled, divine, spotless, and perfect Symbol of the Christian Faith, in conformity to the voice of the Gospel and the decrees of the seven Holy Ecumenical Synods and the teachings of the ever-existing Catholic Church, but works in the pope to prop and strengthen the authority and dignity of them that sit on the Apostolic Throne, and their new doctrine. Behold then, the head and front, so to speak, of all the differences and disagreements that have happened between us and them, and the middle wall of partition, which we hope will be taken away in time, of is the pope, and by the aid of his renowned wisdom, according to the promise of God (Saint John 10:16): *Other sheep I have which are not of this fold: them also I must bring and they shall hear my voice (Who proceeds from the Father)*. Let it be said then, in the third place, that if it be supposed, according to the words of the pope, that this prayer of our Lord for Peter when about to deny and perjure himself, remained attached and united to the Throne of Peter, and is transmitted with power to those who from time to time sit upon it, although, as has before been said, nothing contributes to confirm the opinion (as we are strikingly assured from the example of the blessed Peter himself, even after the descent of the Holy Spirit, yet are we convinced from the words of our Lord, that the time will come when that divine prayer concerning the denial of Peter, *that his faith might not fail for ever* will operate also in some one of the successors of his Throne, who will also weep, as he did, bitterly, and being sometime converted will strengthen us, his brethren, still more in the Orthodox Confession, which we hold from our forefathers; and would that the pope might be this true successor of the blessed Peter! To this our humble prayer, what hinders that we should add our sincere and hearty counsel in the name of the Holy Catholic Church? We dare not say, as does the pope (p. 10, 1:22), that it should be done without any delay; but without haste, utter mature consideration, and also, if need be, after consultation with the more wise, religious, truth-loving, and prudent of the Bishops, Theologians, and Doctors, to be found at the present day, by God's good Providence, in every nation of the West.

XIII

The pope says that the Bishop of Lyons, Saint Irenaeus, writes in praise of the Church of Rome: That the whole Church, namely, the faithful from everywhere, must come together in that Church, because of its Primacy, in which Church the tradition, given by the Apostles, has in all respects been observed by the faithful everywhere. Although this saint says by no means what the followers of the Vatican would make out, yet even granting their interpretation, we reply: Who denies that the ancient Roman Church was Apostolic and Orthodox? None of us will question that it was a model of orthodoxy. We will specially add, for its greater praise, from the historian Sozomen (Hist. Eccl. Bk 3, Ch 12), the passage, which the pope has overlooked, respecting the mode by which for a time she was enabled to preserve the orthodoxy which we praise: For, as everywhere, says Sozomen, the Church throughout the West, *being guided purely by the doctrines of the Fathers*, was delivered from contention and deception concerning these things. Would any of the Fathers or ourselves deny

her canonical privilege in the rank of the hierarchy, *so long as she was guided purely by the doctrines of the Fathers*, walking by the plain rule of Scripture and the holy Synods! But at present we do not find preserved in her the dogma of the Blessed Trinity according to the Symbol of the Holy Fathers assembled first in Nicaea and afterwards in Constantinople, which the other five Ecumenical Synods confessed and confirmed with such anathemas on those who adulterated it in the smallest particular, as if they had thereby destroyed it. Nor do we find the Apostolical pattern of holy Baptism, nor the Invocation of the consecrating Spirit upon the holy elements: but we see in that body the eucharistic Cup, the heavenly drink, considered superfluous, (what profanity!) and very many other things, unknown not only to our Holy Fathers, who were always entitled the Catholic, clear rule, and index of Orthodoxy, as the pope, revering the truth, himself teaches (p. 6), but also unknown to the ancient Holy Fathers of the West. We see that very primacy, for which the pope now contends with all his might, as did his predecessors, transformed from a brotherly character and hierarchical privilege into a lordly superiority. What then is to be thought of his unwritten traditions, if the written have undergone such a change and alteration for the worse? Who is so bold and confident in the dignity of the Apostolic Throne, as to dare to say that if our Holy Father, Saint Irenaeus, were alive again, seeing it was fallen from the ancient and primitive teaching in so many most essential and Catholic articles of Christianity, he would not be himself the first to oppose the novelties and self-sufficient constitutions of that church which was lauded by him as *guided purely by the doctrines of the Fathers*? For instance, when he saw the Roman Church not only rejecting from her Liturgical Canon, according to the suggestion of the Schoolmen, the very ancient and Apostolic invocation of the Consecrating Spirit, and miserably mutilating the Sacrifice in its most essential part, but also urgently hastening to cut it out from the Liturgies of other Christian Communion also, the pope slanderously asserting, in a manner so unworthy of the Apostolic Throne on which he boasts himself, that it crept in after the division between the East and West (p. 11, 1:11)—what would not the Holy Father say respecting this novelty? Saint Irenaeus assures us (Bk 4 Ch 34) that bread, from the ground, receiving the evocation of God, is no longer common bread, etc., meaning by evocation *invocation*, for that Irenaeus believed the Mystery of the Sacrifice to be consecrated by means of this Invocation is especially remarked even by Franciscus Feuardentius, of the order of popish monks called Minorites, who in 1639 edited the writings of that saint with comments, who says (Bk 1 Ch 18, p. 114) that Irenaeus teaches that the bread and mixed cup become the true Body and Blood of Christ by the words of invocation. Or, hearing of the vicarial and appellate jurisdiction of the Pope, what would not the Saint say, who, for a small and almost indifferent question concerning the celebration of Easter (Eusebius: Ecclesiastical History, 26), so boldly and victoriously opposed and defeated the violence of Pope Victor in the free Church of Christ? Thus, he who is cited by the pope as a witness of the primacy of the Roman Church, shows that its dignity is not that of a lordship, nor even appellate, to which Saint Peter himself was never ordained, but is a brotherly privilege in the Catholic Church, and an honour assigned the popes on account of the greatness and privilege of Old Rome. Thus, also, the Fourth Ecumenical Synod, for the preservation of the gradation in rank of churches canonically established by the Third Ecumenical Synod (Canon 8), following the Second (Canon 3), as that again followed the first (Canon 6), which called the appellate jurisdiction of the pope over the West a *custom*, thus uttered its determination: On account of that City being the Imperial City, the Fathers have with reason given it prerogatives (Canon 28). Here is nothing said of the pope's special monopoly of the Apostolicity of Saint Peter, still less of a vicarship in Rome's Bishops, and an universal Pastorate. This deep silence in regard to such great privileges — nor only so, but the reason assigned for the primacy, not *Feed my sheep*, not *On this rock will I build my Church*, but simply old *Custom*, and the City being the *Imperial City*; and these things, *not from the Lord*, but from the Fathers — will seem, we are sure, a great paradox to the pope entertaining other ideas of his prerogatives. The paradox will be the greater, since, as we shall see, he greatly honours the said Fourth Ecumenical Synod as one to be found a witness for his Throne; and Saint Gregory, the eloquent, called the Great (lib. 1, Ep. 25), was wont to speak of the four (Ecumenical Synods [not the Roman See] as the four Gospels, and the four-sided stone on which the Catholic Church is built.

XIV

The pope says (p. 9, 1:12) that the Corinthians, divided among themselves, referred the matter to Clement, Pope of Rome, who wrote to them his decision on the case; and they so prized his decision that they read it in the churches. But this event is a very weak support for the papal authority in the house of God. For Rome being then the centre of the Imperial Province and the chief City, in which the Emperors lived, it was proper that any question of importance, as history shows that of the Corinthians to have been, should be decided there, especially if one of the contending parties ran thither for external aid: as is done even to this day. The Patriarchs of Alexandria, Antioch, and Jerusalem, when unexpected points of difficulty arise, write to the Patriarch of Constantinople, because of its being the seat of Empire, as also on account of its synodical privileges; and if this brotherly aid shall rectify that which should be rectified, it is well; but if not, the matter is reported to the province, according to the established system. But this brotherly agreement in Christian faith is not purchased by the servitude of the Churches of God. Let this be our answer also to the examples of a fraternal and proper championship of the privileges of Julius and Innocent Bishops of Rome, by Saint Athanasius the Great and Saint John Chrysostom, referred to by the pope (p. 9, 1:6,17), for which their successors now seek to recompense us by adulterating the divine Symbol. Yet was Julius himself indignant against some for disturbing the Churches by not maintaining the doctrines of Nicaea (Sozomen: History of the Church, Bk 3, Ch 7), and threatening excommunication, if they ceased not their innovations. In the case of the Corinthians, moreover, it is to be remarked that the Patriarchal Thrones being then but three, Rome was the nearer and more accessible to the Corinthians, to which, therefore, it was proper to have resort. In all this we see nothing extraordinary, nor any proof of the despotic power of the pope in the free Church of God.

XV

But, finally, the pope says (p. 9, 1:12) that the Fourth Ecumenical Synod (which by mistake he quite transfers from Chalcedon to Carthage), when it read the epistle of Pope Leo I, cried out, Peter has thus spoken by Leo. It was so indeed. But the pope ought not to overlook how, and after what examination, our fathers cried out, as they did, in praise of Leo. Since however the pope, consulting brevity, appears to have omitted this most necessary point, and the manifest proof that an Ecumenical Synod is not only above the pope but above any council of his, we will explain to the public the matter as it really happened. Of more than six hundred fathers assembled in the Synod of Chalcedon, about two hundred of the wisest were appointed by the Synod to examine both as to language and sense the said epistle of Leo; nor only so, but to give in writing and with their signatures their own judgment upon it, whether it were orthodox or not. These, about two hundred judgments and resolution on the epistle, as chiefly found in the Fourth Session of the said Holy Synod in such terms as the following:

Maximus of Antioch in Syria said:

The epistle of the holy Leo, Archbishop of Imperial Rome, agrees with the decisions of the three hundred and eighteen holy fathers at Nice, and the hundred and fifty at Constantinople, which is new Rome, and with the faith expounded at Ephesus by the most holy Bishop Cyril: and I have subscribed it.

And again, Theodoret, the most religious Bishop of Cyrus:

The epistle of the most holy Archbishop, the lord Leo, agrees with the faith established at Nicaea by the Holy and Blessed Fathers, and with the Symbol of Faith expounded at Constantinople by the hundred and fifty, and with the epistles of the Blessed Cyril. And accepting it, I have subscribed the said epistle.

And thus all in succession: The epistle corresponds, the epistle is consonant, the epistle agrees in sense, and the like. After such great and very severe scrutiny in comparing it with former Holy

Synods, and a full conviction of the correctness of the meaning, and not merely because it was the epistle of the pope, they cried aloud, ungrudgingly, the exclamation on which the pope now vaunts himself: But if he had sent us statements concordant and in unison with the seven Holy Ecumenical Synods, instead of boasting of the piety of his predecessors lauded by our predecessors and fathers in an Ecumenical Synod, he might justly have gloried in his own orthodoxy, declaring his own goodness instead of that of his fathers. Therefore let the pope be assured, that if, even now, he will write us such things as two hundred Fathers on investigation and inquiry shall find consonant and agreeing with the said former Synods, then, we say, he shall hear from us sinners today, not only, Peter has so spoken, or anything of like honour, but this also, Let the holy hand be kissed which has wiped away the tears of the Catholic Church.

XVI

And surely we have a right to expect from the prudent forethought of the pope, a work so worthy the true successor of Saint Peter, of Leo I, and also of Leo III, who for security of the orthodox faith engraved the divine Symbol unaltered upon imperishable plates — a work which will unite the churches of the West to the Holy Catholic Church, in which the canonical chief seat of the pope, and the seats of all the bishops of the West remain empty and ready to be occupied. For the Catholic Church, awaiting the conversion of the shepherds who have fallen off from her with their flocks, does not separate in name only, those who have been secretly introduced to the rulership by the action of others, thus making little of the Priesthood. But we are awaiting the word of consolation, and hope that he, as wrote Saint Basil to Saint Ambrose, Bishop of Milan, will tread again the ancient footprints of the fathers. Not without great astonishment have we read the said Encyclical letter to the Easterns, in which we see with deep grief of soul the pope, famed for prudence, speaking like his predecessors in schism, words that urge upon us the adulteration of our pure Holy Symbol, on which the Ecumenical Synods have set their seal; and doing violence to the sacred Liturgies, whose heavenly structure alone, and the names of those who framed them, and their tone of reverend antiquity, and the stamp that was placed upon them by the Seventh Ecumenical Synod (Act 6), should have paralysed him, and made him to turn aside the sacrilegious and all-daring hand that has thus smitten the King of Glory. From these things we estimate into what an unspeakable labyrinth of wrong and incorrigible sin of revolution the papacy has thrown even the wiser and more godly bishops of Old Rome, so that, in order to preserve the innocent, and therefore valued vicarial dignity, as well as the despotic primacy and the things depending upon it, they know no other means than to insult the most divine and sacred things, daring everything for that one end. Clothing themselves, in words, with pious reverence for the most venerable antiquity (p. 11, 1:16), in reality there remains, within, the innovating temper; and yet the pope really hears hard upon himself when he says that we must cast from us everything that has crept in among us since the Separation,(!) while he and his have spread the poison of their innovation even into the Supper of our Lord. The pope evidently takes it for granted that in the Orthodox Church the same thing has happened which he is conscious has happened in the Latin community since the rise of the papacy: to wit, a sweeping change in all the Mysteries, and corruption from scholastic subtleties, a reliance on which must suffice as an equivalent for our sacred Liturgies and Mysteries and doctrines: yet all the while, forsooth, reverencing our venerable antiquity — and all this by a condescension entirely Apostolic! — without as he says, troubling us by any harsh conditions! From such ignorance of the Apostolic and Catholic food on which we live emanates another sententious declaration of his (p. 7, 1:22): It is not possible that unity of doctrine and sacred observance should be preserved among you, paradoxically ascribing to us the very misfortune from which he suffers at home; just as Pope Leo IX wrote to the blessed Michael Cerularius, accusing the Greeks of changing the Symbol of the Catholic Church, without blushing either for his own honour or for the truth of history. We are persuaded that if the pope will call to mind ecclesiastical archaeology and history, the doctrine of the Holy Fathers and the old Liturgies of France and Spain, and the Sacramentary of the ancient Roman Church, he will be struck with surprise on finding how many other monstrous daughters,

now living, the papacy has brought forth in the West: while Orthodoxy, with us, has preserved the Catholic Church as an incorruptible bride for her Bridegroom, although we have no temporal power, nor, as the pope says, any sacred observances, but by the sole tie of love and affection to a common Mother are bound together in the unity of a faith sealed with the seven seals of the Spirit (Apocalypse 5:1), and by the seven Ecumenical Synods, and in obedience to the Truth. He will find, also, how many modern papist doctrines and mysteries must be rejected as commandments of men in order that the Latin community, which has introduced all sorts of novelties, may be changed back again to the immutable Catholic Orthodox faith of our common Fathers. As the pope recognises our common zeal in this faith, when he says (p. 8, 1:30), let us take heed to the doctrine preserved by our forefathers, so he does well in instructing us (1:31) to follow the old popes and the faithful of the Eastern Metropolitans. What these thought of the doctrinal fidelity of the Archbishops of Old Rome, and what idea we ought to have of them in the Orthodox Church, and in what manner we ought to receive their teachings, they have synodically given us an example, and the sublime Basil has well interpreted it. As to the supremacy, since we are not setting forth a treatise, let the same great Basil present the matter in a few words, I preferred to address myself to Him who is Head over them.

XVII

From all this, every one nourished in sound Catholic doctrine, particularly the pope, must draw the conclusion, how impious and anti-synodical it is to attempt the alteration of our doctrine and liturgies and other divine offices which are, and are proved to be, coeval with the preaching of Christianity: for which reason reverence was always bestowed on them, and they were confided in as pure even by the old Orthodox popes themselves, to whom these things were an inheritance in common with ourselves. How becoming and holy would be the mending of the innovations, the time of whose entrance in the Church of Rome we know in each case; for our illustrious Fathers have testified from time to time against each novelty. But there are other reasons which should incline the pope to this change. First, because those things that are ours were once venerable to the Westerns, as having the same divine Offices and confessing the same Symbol; but the novelties were not known to our Fathers, nor could they be shown in the writings of the Orthodox Western Fathers, nor as having their origin either in antiquity or catholicity. Moreover, neither Patriarchs nor Synods could then have introduced novelties amongst us, because the protector of religion is the very body of the Church, even the people themselves, who desire their religious worship to be ever unchanged and of the same kind as that of their fathers: for as, after the Schism, many of the popes and Latinizing Patriarchs made attempts that came to nothing even in the Western Church; and as, from time to time, either by fair means or foul, the popes have commanded novelties for the sake of expediency (as they have explained to our Fathers, although they were thus dismembering the Body of Christ), so now again the pope, for the sake of a truly divine and most just expediency, indeed (not mending the nets, but himself rending the garment of the Saviour), dare to oppose the venerable things of antiquity — things well fitted to preserve religion, as the pope confesses (p. 11, 1:16), and which he himself honours, as he says (1:16), together with his predecessors, for he repeats that memorable expression of one of those blessed predecessors (Celestine, writing to the Third Ecumenical Synod): Let novelty cease to attack antiquity. And let the Catholic Church enjoy this benefit from this so far blameless declaration of the popes. It must by all means be confessed, that in such his attempt, even though Pius IX be eminent for wisdom and piety and, as he says, for zeal after Christian unity in the Catholic Church, he will meet, within and without, with difficulties and toils. And here we must put the pope in mind, if he will excuse our boldness, of that portion of his letter (p. 8, 1:32), That in things which relate to the confession of our divine religion, nothing is to be feared, when we look to the glory of Christ, and the reward which awaits us in eternal life. It is incumbent on the pope to show before God and man, that, as prime mover of the counsel which pleases God, so is he a willing protector of the ill-treated evangelical and synodical truth, even to the sacrifice of his own interests, according to the Prophet (Isaiah 60:17), *a ruler in peace* and a

bishop in righteousness. So be it! But until there be this desired returning of the apostate communities to the body of the One, Holy, Catholic, and Apostolic Church, of which *Christ is the Head* (Ephesians 4:15), and each of us *members in particular*, all advice proceeding from them, and every officious exhortation tending to the dissolution of our pure faith handed down from the Fathers is condemned, as it ought to be, synodically, not only as suspicious and to be eschewed, but as impious and soul-destroying; and in this category, among the first we place the said Encyclical to the Easterns from Pope Pius IX, Bishop of Old Rome; and such we proclaim it to be in the Catholic Church.

XVIII

Wherefore, beloved brethren and fellow-ministers of our mediocrity, as always, so also now, particularly on this occasion of the publication of the said Encyclical, we hold it to be our inexorable duty, in accordance with our patriarchal and synodical responsibility, in order that none may be lost to the divine fold of the Catholic Orthodox Church, the most holy Mother of us all, to encourage each other, and to urge you that, reminding one another of the words and exhortations of Saint Paul to our holy predecessors when he summoned them to Ephesus, we reiterate to each other: *take heed, therefore, unto yourselves, and to all the flock, over the which the Holy Spirit hath made you overseers, to feed the Church of God, which He hath purchased with His own Blood. For know this, that after my departing shall grievous wolves enter in among you not sparing the flock. Also of your own selves shall men arise, speaking perverse things, to draw away disciples after them. Therefore, watch.* (Acts 20:28-31) Then our predecessors and Fathers, hearing this divine charge, wept sore, and falling upon his neck, kissed him. Come then, and let us, brethren, hearing him admonishing us with tears, fall in spirit, lamenting, upon his neck and, kissing him, comfort him by our own firm assurance, that no one shall separate us from the love of Christ, no one mislead us from evangelical doctrine, no one entice us from the safe path of our Fathers, as none was able to deceive them, by any degree of zeal which they manifested, who from time to time were raised up for this purpose by the tempter; so that at last we shall hear from the Master: *Well done, good and faithful servant*, receiving the end of our faith, even the salvation of our souls, and of the reasonable flock over whom the Holy Spirit has made us shepherds.

XIX

This Apostolic charge and exhortation we have quoted for your sake, and address it to all the Orthodox faithful, wherever they be found settled on the earth, to the priests and abbots, to the deacons and monks, in a word, to all the clergy and godly people, the rulers and the ruled, the rich and the poor, to parents and children, to teachers and scholars, to the educated and uneducated, to masters and servants, that we all, supporting and counseling each other, may be able to stand against the wiles of the devil. For thus Saint Peter the Apostle exhorts us, *Be sober, be vigilant because your adversary the devil, as a roaring lion walketh about, seeking whom he may devour. Whom resist, steadfast in the faith.*

XX

For our faith, brethren, is not of men nor by man, but by revelation of Jesus Christ, which the divine Apostles preached, the Holy Ecumenical Synods confirmed, the greatest and wisest teachers of the world handed down in succession, and the shed blood of the Holy Martyrs ratified. *Let us hold fast to the confession* which we have received unadulterated from such men, turning away from every novelty as a suggestion of the devil. He that accepts a novelty reproaches with deficiency the preached Orthodox Faith. But that Faith has long ago been sealed in completeness, not to admit of decrease or increase, or any change whatever; and he who dares to do, or advise, or think such a thing has already denied the faith of Christ, has already of his own accord been struck with an

eternal anathema, for blaspheming the Holy Spirit as not having spoken fully in the Scriptures and through the Ecumenical Synods. This fearful anathema, brethren and sons beloved in Christ, we do not pronounce today, but our Saviour first pronounced it (Matthew 12:32): *Whosoever speaketh against the Holy Spirit, it shall not be forgiven him, neither in this world, neither in the world to come.* Saint Paul pronounced the same anathema (Galatians 1:6): *I marvel that ye are so soon removed from Him that called you into the grace of Christ, unto another Gospel: which is not another; but there be some that trouble you, and would pervert the Gospel of Christ. But though we, or an angel from heaven, preach any other gospel unto you, than that which we have preached unto you, let him be accursed.* This same anathema the Seven Ecumenical Synods and the whole choir of God-serving Fathers pronounced. All, therefore, innovating, either by heresy or schism, have voluntarily clothed themselves, according to the Psalm (108:18), (*with a curse as with a garment*) whether they be popes, or patriarchs, or clergy, or laity; nay, if any one, though an angel from heaven, preach any other Gospel unto you than that ye have received, let him be accursed. Thus, our wise fathers, obedient to the soul-saving words of Saint Paul, were established firm and steadfast in the faith handed down unbrokenly to them, and preserved it unchanged and uncontaminated in the midst of so many heresies, and have delivered it to us pure and undefiled, as it came pure from the mouth of the first servants of the Word. Let us, too, thus wise, transmit it, pure as we have received it, to coming generations, altering nothing, that they may be, as we are, full of confidence, and with nothing to be ashamed of when speaking of the faith of their forefathers.

XXI

Therefore, brethren, and sons beloved in the Lord, *having purified your souls in obeying the truth* (1 Peter 1:22), *let us give the more earnest heed to the things which we have heard, lest at any time we should let them slip* (Hebrews 2:1). The faith and confession we have received is not one to be ashamed of, being taught in the Gospel from the mouth of our Lord, witnessed by the Holy Apostles, by the seven Holy Ecumenical Synods, preached throughout the world, witnessed to by its very enemies, who, before they apostatised from orthodoxy to heresies, themselves held this same faith, or at least their fathers and fathers' fathers thus held it. It is witnessed to by continuous history, as triumphing over all the heresies which have persecuted or now persecute it, as ye see even to this day. The succession of our holy divine fathers and predecessors beginning from the Apostles, and those whom the Apostles appointed their successors, to this day, forming one unbroken chain, and joining hand to hand, keep fast the sacred enclosure of which the door is Christ, in which all the Orthodox Flock is fed in the fertile pastures of the mystical Eden, and not in the pathless and rugged wilderness, as the pope supposes (p. 7, 1:12). Our Church holds the infallible and genuine deposit of the Holy Scriptures, of the Old Testament a true and perfect version, of the New Testament the divine original itself. The rites of the sacred Mysteries, and especially those of the Divine Liturgy, are the same glorious and heartquickenng rites, handed down from the Apostles. No nation, no Christian communion, can boast of such Liturgies as those of James, Basil, and Chrysostom. The august Ecumenical Synods, those seven pillars of the house of Wisdom, were organised in it and among us. Thus, our Church holds the originals of their sacred definitions. The Chief Pastors in it, and the honourable Presbytery, and the monastic Order, preserve the primitive and pure dignity of the first ages of Christianity, in opinions, in polity, and even in the simplicity of their vestments. Yes! truly grievous wolves have constantly attacked this holy fold, and are attacking it now, as we see for ourselves, according to the prediction of the Apostle, which shows that the true lambs of the great Shepherd are folded in it; but that Church has sung and shall sing forever: *They compassed me about; yea, they compassed me about: but in the name of the Lord I will destroy them* (Psalm 117:11). Let us add one reflection, a painful one indeed, but useful in order to manifest and confirm the truth of our words: All Christian nations whatsoever that are today, seen calling upon the Name of Christ (not excepting either the West generally, or Old Rome herself, as we prove by the catalogue of her earliest popes), were taught the True Faith in Christ by our holy predecessors and fathers; and yet afterwards deceitful men, many of whom were shepherds, and chief shepherds, too,

of those nations, by wretched sophistries and heretical opinions dared to defile, alas! the orthodoxy of those nations, as veracious history informs us, and as Saint Paul predicted.

XXII

Therefore, brethren, and ye our spiritual children, we acknowledge how great the favour and grace which God has bestowed upon our Orthodox Faith, and on His One, Holy, Catholic, and Apostolic Church, which, like a mother who is unsuspected of her husband, nourishes us as children of whom she is not ashamed, and who are excusable in our high-toned boldness concerning the hope that is in us. But what shall we sinners render to the Lord for all that He hath bestowed upon us? Our bounteous Lord and God, who has redeemed us by his own Blood, requires nothing else of us but the devotion of our whole soul and heart to the blameless, Holy Faith of our Fathers, and love and affection to the Orthodox Church, which has regenerated us not with a novel sprinkling, but with the divine washing of Apostolic Baptism. She it is that nourishes us, according to the eternal covenant of our Saviour, with His own precious Body, and abundantly, as a true Mother, gives us to drink of that precious Blood poured out for us and for the salvation of the world. Let us then encompass her in spirit, as the young their parent bird, wherever on earth we find ourselves, in the north, or south, or east, or west. Let us fix our eyes and thoughts upon her divine countenance and her most glorious beauty. Let us take hold with both our hands on her shining robe which the Bridegroom, altogether lovely, has with His own undefiled hands thrown around her, when He redeemed her from the bondage of error, and adorned her as an eternal Bride for Himself. Let us feel in our own souls the mutual grief of the children-loving mother and the mother-loving children, when it is seen that men of wolfish minds and making gain of souls are zealous in plotting how they may lead her captive, or tear the lambs from their mothers. Let us, Clergy as well as Laity, cherish this feeling most intensely now, when the unseen adversary of our salvation, combining his fraudulent arts (p. 11, 1:2-25), employs such powerful instrumentalities, and walketh about everywhere, as says Saint Peter, *seeking whom he may devour*; and when in this way, in which we walk peacefully and innocently, he sets his deceitful snares.

XXIII

Now, the God of peace, that brought again from the dead that great Shepherd of the sheep, He that keepeth Israel, who shall neither slumber nor sleep, keep your hearts and minds, and direct your ways to every good work.

Peace and joy be with you in the Lord.

May 1848, Indiction 6.

ANTHIMOS, by the Mercy of God, Archbishop of Constantinople, new Rome, and Ecumenical Patriarch, a beloved brother in Christ our God, and suppliant.

HIEROTHEUS, by the Mercy of God, Patriarch of Alexandria and of all Egypt, a beloved brother in Christ our God, and suppliant.

METHODIOS, by the Mercy of God, Patriarch of the great City of God, Antioch, and of all Anatolia, a beloved brother in Christ our God, and suppliant.

CYRIL, by the Mercy of God, Patriarch of Jerusalem and of all Palestine, a beloved brother in Christ our God, and suppliant.

The Holy Synod in Constantinople

PAISIUS of Caesarea

ANTHIMUS of Ephesus
DIONYSIUS of Heraclea
JOACHIM of Cyzicus
DIONYSIUS of Nicodemia
HIEROTHEUS of Chalcedon
NEOPHYTUS of Derci
GERASIMUS of Adrianople
CYRIL of Neocaesarea
THEOCLETUS of Berea
MELETIUS of Pisidia
ATHANASIUS of Smyrna
DIONYSIUS of Melenicus
PAISIUS of Sophia
DANIEL of Lemnos
PANTELEIMON of Deyinopolis
JOSEPH of Ersecium
ANTHIMUS of Bodeni

The Holy Synod in Antioch

ZACHARIAS of Arcadia
METHODIOS of Emesa
JOANNICIUS of Tripolis
ARTEMIUS of Laodicea

The Holy Synod in Jerusalem

MELETIUS of Petra
DIONYSIUS of Bethlehem
PHILEMON of Gaza
SAMUEL of Neapolis
THADDEUS of Sebaste
JOANNICIUS of Philadelphia
HIEROTHEUS of Tabor

Source: <http://reocities.com/heartland/5654/orthodox/ency1848.html>